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## Unit Threshold Marks

| Unit |  | Maximum <br> Mark | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2860 | Raw | 90 | 64 | 56 | 49 | 42 | 35 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2861 | Raw | 90 | 59 | 51 | 44 | 37 | 30 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2862 | Raw | 120 | 97 | 85 | 73 | 62 | 51 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 90 | 72 | 63 | 54 | 45 | 36 | 0 |

## Specification Aggregation Results

Overall threshold marks in UMS (i.e. after conversion of raw marks to uniform marks)

|  | Maximum <br> Mark | A | B | C | D | E | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3888 | 300 | 240 | 210 | 180 | 150 | 120 | 0 |

The cumulative percentage of candidates awarded each grade was as follows:

|  | A | B | C | D | E | U | Total Number of <br> Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3888 | 24.0 | 43.7 | 62.3 | 79.6 | 91.0 | 100.0 | 6682 |

## Advanced GCE Physics B (Advancing Physics) 7888 June 2004 Assessment Session

## Unit Threshold Marks

| Unit |  | Maximum <br> Mark | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2863A | Raw | 127 | 96 | 86 | 76 | 66 | 56 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2863B | Raw | 127 | 96 | 86 | 76 | 66 | 56 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2864A | Raw | 119 | 92 | 82 | 72 | 62 | 53 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2864B | Raw | 119 | 92 | 82 | 73 | 64 | 55 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2865 | Raw | 90 | 66 | 59 | 52 | 46 | 40 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 90 | 72 | 63 | 54 | 45 | 36 | 0 |

## Specification Aggregation Results

Overall threshold marks in UMS (i.e. after conversion of raw marks to uniform marks)

|  | Maximum <br> Mark | A | B | C | D | E | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7888 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 360 | 300 | 240 | 0 |

The cumulative percentage of candidates awarded each grade was as follows:

|  | A | B | C | D | E | U | Total Number of <br> Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7888 | 30.7 | 52.9 | 73.0 | 88.2 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 5214 |

## Physics B (Advancing Physics) mark schemes - an introduction

Just as the philosophy of the Advancing Physics course develops the student's understanding of Physics, so the philosophy of the examination rewards the candidate for showing that understanding. These mark schemes must be viewed in that light, for in practice the examiners' standardisation meeting is of at least equal importance.

The following points need to be borne in mind when reading the published mark schemes:

- Alternative approaches to a question are rewarded equally with that given in the scheme, provided that the physics is sound. As an example, when a candidate is required to "Show that..." followed by a numerical value, it is always possible to work back from the required value to the data.
- Open questions, such as the questions in section C permit a very wide variety of approaches, and the candidate's own approach must be rewarded according to the degree to which it has been successful. Real examples of differing approaches are discussed in standardisation meetings, and specimen answers produced by candidates are used as 'case law' for examiners when marking scripts.
- Final and intermediate calculated values in the schemes are given to assist the examiners in spotting whether candidates are proceeding correctly. Mark schemes frequently give calculated values to degrees of precision greater than those warranted by the data, to show values that one might expect to see in candidates' working.
- Where a calculation is worth two marks, one mark is generally given for the method, and the other for the evaluation of the quantity to be calculated.
- If part of a question uses a value calculated earlier, any error in the former result is not penalised further, being counted as error carried forward: the candidate's own previous result is taken as correct for the subsequent calculation.
- Inappropriate numbers of significant figures in a final answer are penalised by the loss of a mark, generally once per examination paper. The maximum number of significant figures deemed to be permissible is one more than that given in the data; two more significant figures would be excessive. This does not apply in questions where candidates are required to show that a given value is correct.
- Where units are not provided in the question or answer line the candidate is expected to give the units used in the answer.
- Quality of written communication will be assessed where there are opportunities to write extended prose.


## SECTION C

The outline mark schemes given here will be given more clarity by the papers seen when the examination is taken. Some of these scripts will be used as case law to establish the quality of answer required to gain the marks available.
It is not possible to write a mark scheme that anticipates every example which students have studied.

For some of the longer descriptive questions three marks will be used (in scheme called the $1 / 2 / 3$ style).

1 will indicate an attempt has been made
2 will indicate the description is satisfactory, but contains errors
3 will indicate the description is essentially correct

| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Section A tough | 1 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a} ; \\ \mathrm{b} ; \\ \mathrm{c} \end{gathered}$ | i) $\mathbf{B}$ ii) $\mathbf{A} \quad$; a light line / low values AW $\checkmark$; smoothing / averaging / mean / median AW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | both for the mark at $10 /$ near zero NOT just filter |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $r$ correctly labelled $\checkmark$; rearrangement or substitution $\sin r=\sin 80^{\circ} / 1.3 \checkmark$; correct value for $r=49 .(2)^{\circ} \checkmark$; accept $1 / 2 / 3$ S.F. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\sin 80^{\circ} / \sin r=1.3$ <br> S.F. mark not penalty accept $50^{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =220 / 880 /=1 / 4 / 1: 4 \checkmark ; \\ & \text { method }=220 \times 6 /(220+880) / \text { correct symbolic } \checkmark ; \\ & \text { evaluation }=1.2 \mathrm{~V} \checkmark \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | accept 0.25 NOT 4 accept 6/5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P=I V=I I R=\left(I^{2} R\right) / P / I=I \quad R \text { and } P=I^{2} R \checkmark ; \\ & 4 \checkmark \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | NO ecf from (a) |
| 6 | e.g. rotate T/R/grille / slit (about $x$-axis) $\checkmark$; loudness varies $\checkmark$; <br> reaches zero / minimum after $90^{\circ}$ rotation ora $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | accept any other valid method e.g.rotating a metal grille $1 / 2 / 3$ style AW |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 \mathrm{ai} ; \\ \mathrm{ii} \\ \text { bi;ii } \end{gathered}$ | ```50\mus }\checkmark\mathrm{ ; 20000 Hz r; 3 bits \checkmark ; 3 < 10 6 bits s }\mp@subsup{}{}{-1}``` <br> Total section A | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ \hline 20 \end{array}$ | accept up to $52 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ecf on $1 / T$ ecf on bits $\times 10^{6}$ |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Qn \& Expected Answers \& Marks \& Additional guidance \\
\hline 8a;
b;
ci
ii
i
iii \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Section B \\
Angle of incident ray correct by eye \(\checkmark\); adv. wider angle of view \(\checkmark\); disadv. missing angles / non-continuous field of view / hazard \(\checkmark\);
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& I=P / V \quad=50 / 12 \checkmark ;=4.17 / 4.2 \mathrm{~A} \checkmark ; \\
\& (G=I / V)=4.17 / 12 \checkmark ;=0.347 \mathrm{~S} \checkmark ; \\
\& t=G L / \sigma h \quad \text { OR } \quad A=G L / \sigma \quad \checkmark ; \\
\& =0.347 \times 0.2 /\left(3.1 \times 10^{5} \times 0.08\right) \\
\& = \\
\& =
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& NOT see more other sensible suggestions rearrange ; decimal evaluation \(i\) and ii allow ecf on 4.0 A i.e. 0.33 S rearrangement substitution / evaluation final evaluation ecf \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \mathrm{a} \\
\mathrm{~b} \\
\mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{~d} \\
\mathrm{ei} \\
\mathrm{ii} \\
\mathrm{iiij} ; \\
\mathrm{f}
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(50 \pm 20\) pixels \(\checkmark\); \\
\(135 /(\mathrm{a})=2\) or 3 or 4 or 5 (to 1 S.F.) \(\checkmark\); \\
same length per pixel AW \(\checkmark\); \\
1.28 M(bits) \(\checkmark\); \\
\((M=v / u)=0.16 / 2 \times 10^{5} \checkmark ;\left(=8 \times 10^{-7}\right)\) ora \(10^{-3} / 8 \times 10^{-7}=1.25 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} \quad\); \\
use of geometry similar triangles / equal angles \(\checkmark\); \\
\(1 / u \approx 0 \checkmark\); so \(1 / v \approx 1 / f\) (and \(v \approx f\) ) \\
OR correct numerical / curvature arguments
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& \hline 9
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
must match their (a) accept same image accept 1.22 M(bits) \\
AW \\
complete argument for both marks
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 10ai
ii

b

ci

ii

iii \& | any straight line of best fit judged by eye |
| :--- |
| 1. $6.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~V} /$ consistent with the $y$-intercept of their graph |
| 2. $r=$ gradient $/(\varepsilon-V) / I /=V_{\text {LOST }} / I \checkmark$; |
| e.g. $=(6.0-0) / 2.0 \checkmark$; $=3.0 \Omega \checkmark$ no working max 1 |
| ( total) delivered internally and externally / of battery (dissipated) in internal resistor $\checkmark$; |
| $R=V / I=3(.0) \Omega \checkmark$ and $P=I V=\underline{3}(.0) . \mathrm{W} \checkmark$; |
| (efficiency $=I V / I \mathcal{E}=3.0 / 6.0)=0.5 / 50 \% \checkmark$; |
| Power $=2.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~W} / 1.6 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~W} / 1.9 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~W}$ UP $\checkmark$ | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& \hline 11 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | any correct method ; substitution ; evaluation consistent with their graph values |
| :--- |
| or whole circuit NOT in heat |
| consistent with graph consistent calculation of $P$ from a graph point | <br>


\hline 11a b ci; ii di ii \& | $\sigma=\varepsilon E=0.05 \times 5.0 \times 10^{9}$ any arrangement $\checkmark$; proportional up to point ( $250 \mathrm{MPa}, 5 \%$ ) $\checkmark$; any shape ending at point ( $300 \mathrm{MPa}, 25 \%$ ) $\checkmark$; $A=\pi R^{2}=\pi \times\left(25 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}=1.96 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad$; $F=\sigma A /=3.0 \times 10^{8} \times 1.96 \times 10^{-9} \checkmark ;=0.59 / 0.6 \mathrm{~N} \checkmark$; any mechanical property $\checkmark$ of a composite related to microstructure $\checkmark$; explain by ref to matrix and fibres ; e.g. strength / stiffness : of fibres shifted to matrix / toughness : stress shared by matrix to other fibres / anisotropy : stronger axially than transverse - split ends |
| :--- |
| suggest: coiled protein molecules can uncoil and coil up again when stress is removed $\checkmark$; |
| weak bonds between coiled molecules can be broken under stress then reform when stress is removed / strong sulphur bonds to matrix pull coils back to shape $\checkmark$ | \& | 1 |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 1 |
|  |
| $\frac{1}{10}$ |
| 40 | \& | correct substitution no line no marks |
| :--- |
| evidence of evaluation method ; evaluation AW reward other sensible physics suggestions NOT ductile |
| reward sensible suggestions or AW but NOT spring analogy | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

|  | Total section B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional guidance |
| 12ai | Section C e.g. text / logos $\checkmark$; by FAX $\checkmark$; | 2 |  |
| ii | a frequency estimate $\checkmark 64 \mathrm{kHz}$ (allow kbits per s) $\checkmark$; frequency at which binary bits of information are transmitted down the telephone line from transmitting to receiving FAX machines | 2 | sensible for example chosen with unit accept speech / carrier / sampling frequencies |
| iii | higher $f$ enables greater rate of information transfer $\checkmark$ | 1 | NOT more data |
| b | 1/2/3 style analogue - continuously variable signal digital - two level / binary signal accept correct diagrams or explanation to max 3 | 3 | AW |
| c | adv - communication on the move / worldwide $\checkmark$; <br> exp - emergency services can be contacted immediately at an accident $\checkmark$; | 1 1 | allow any sensible justified cases of making physics connect |
|  | disadv - mobile phones ringing in trains / concerts $\checkmark$; exp - annoyance to other passengers / audience $\checkmark$; | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Total | 13 |  |
| 13a | e.g. light intensity $\checkmark$; LDR $\downarrow$ | 2 | allow amount of fuel |
| b | circuit diagram 1/2/3 style $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ penalise incorrect circuit symbols | 3 | full marks for active sensor circuit with suitable output monitor |
| ci | e.g. vary light intensity and measure (against a known standard / digital luxmeter); <br> plot graph of output p.d. against intensity ; <br> gradient of graph / AW for sensitivity |  | vary input and measure correct plot measure sensitivity |
|  | OR 1/2/3 style $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ | 3 |  |
| ii iii | e.g. $3 \checkmark \mathrm{mV}$ per lux $/ \mathrm{mV}$ per $\mathrm{Wm}^{-2} ; \checkmark$ | 2 | unit must relate to b/c value plus incorrect unit scores 0 |
| iii | any change to fixed resistor in potential divider / increase emf / amplify (output) ; | 1 | easy first mark |
|  | to give appropriate output alteration; | 1 | look back to circuit |
|  | to increase range of output (for similar input change) OR 1/2/3 style $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ | 1 | tough third mark other approaches |
|  | Total | 13 |  |
|  | Quality of written communication | -4 |  |
|  | Total section C | 30 |  |

## QoWC Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark (0-4) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in Section C of the paper.
$4 \max \quad$ The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultless accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3
The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2
The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.

0
The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.

| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A |  |  |
| 1 (a) | $5 \times 10^{-4}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 1 |  |
| 1 (b) | 500 (nm) $\checkmark$ | 1 | or $5 \times 10^{2}(\mathrm{~nm})$ |
| 2 | $(3.0)^{2} /(1.5)^{2}$ or $9 / 2.25$ or $2^{2} \checkmark$ ratio $=4 \checkmark$ | 2 | for ratio $=4 \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 3 (a) | $t=2 s /(u+v) \checkmark t=(v-u) / a \checkmark$ | 2 |  |
| 3(b) |  | 1 | not 2as $=u^{2}-v^{2}$ |
| 4 | 2 correct additional points (other than 0,0 ) $\checkmark \checkmark$ appropriate line through the points plotted and $(0,0) \checkmark$ | 3 | error in point plotted -1 judge line through points plotted |
| 5(a) | $1.0 \times 10^{15}(\mathrm{~Hz}) \checkmark(1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}=$ zero $)$ | 1 |  |
| 5(b) | below $f_{0}$ no electrons (above $f_{0}$ you get electrons) $\checkmark$ $\min \mathrm{f}$ corresponds to min photon energy $=$ hf $\checkmark$ | 2 |  |
| 5(c) | $\begin{aligned} \left(10 \times 10^{-19}\right) /\left(1.5 \times 10^{15}\right)= & \begin{array}{r} 6.7 \times 10^{-34} \checkmark(\mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}) \\ \\ \text { penalise more than } 3 \mathrm{sf} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | accept 1 s.f. |
| 6 | Line/curve up from $(0,0)$ to $t_{1} \checkmark$ plateau from $t_{1}$ to $t_{2} \checkmark$ Line/curve down from plateau to $\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}, 0\right) \checkmark$ | 3 |  |
| 7(a) | $90^{\circ}$ or $270^{\circ}$ or $\pi / 2$ or $3 \pi / 2$ or $1 / 4$ of a cycle $\checkmark \quad($ not $\lambda / 4)$ | 1 |  |
| 7(b) | A at 6 o'clock $\checkmark$ B at 9 o'clock $\checkmark$ | 2 |  |
|  | Section A total | 20 |  |


| Qn | Expected Answers <br> Section B | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 <br> (a)(i) <br> (ii) | correct standing wave $\checkmark$ one $A$ and one $N$ labelled clear method $\checkmark$ showing $I_{2}-I_{1}=\lambda / 2$ | 2 1 |  |
| (iii) 1 | 0.506-0.170 $=\lambda / 2 \checkmark \lambda=0.672(\mathrm{~m}) \checkmark(0.67)$ | 2 | not by $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{f} \lambda$ here, must be using $l_{2}-l_{1}=\lambda / 2$ |
| (iii) 2 | $\begin{gathered} v=500 \times 0.672 \checkmark=336 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \text { (ecf from (a)(iii)) } \\ \text { accept } 340 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 0.67 gives 335 |
| (b) | smaller wavelength $\checkmark$ smaller distances (to measure) $\checkmark$ less accuracy in the measurements | 3 |  |
|  | total | 10 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (a)(i) \end{gathered}$ | orange; brighter; broader(wider) $\checkmark \checkmark$ | 2 | maximum 2 marks |
| (ii) | 2 wavelengths (or frequencies) $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| (iii) | Shorter $\lambda \checkmark \sin \theta=\lambda / \mathrm{d}$ idea $\checkmark$ | 2 | or rotating phasors argument |
| (iv) | both wavelengths contribute in phase (AW) $\checkmark$ | 1 | or rotating phasors argument |
| (b) <br> (i) | larger distance from orange $\checkmark$ approx double $\checkmark$ | 2 | see acceptable limits |
| (ii) | ' $d$ ' (slit separation) is halved ( or less) $\checkmark$ $\sin \theta=\lambda / d$ idea $\checkmark$ (or bigger angle to give same path diff) | 2 | rotating phasors idea |
|  | total | 10 |  |


| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ | $17 \cos 30$ or $17 \sin 60 \checkmark\left(=14.7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 1 |  |
| (ii) | accelerating / because of gravity or gravity reduces vertical component $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| (iii) | $\begin{gathered} s=(14.7 \times 1.5)-\frac{1}{2} \times 9.8 \times(1.5)^{2} \checkmark_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\checkmark} \mathrm{s}=11 \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \text { (approx) } \\ (\mathrm{g}=10 \text { gives } 10.83) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | or by $v^{2}=u^{2}-2$ as or $s=(u+v) t / 2$ |
| (b)(i) | time less $\checkmark$ smaller vertical height (or smaller $\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{\prime}$ ) (or horizontal velocity greater, distance same ( $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v}$ )) | 2 |  |
| (ii) | Horiz component of velocity greater $\checkmark$ but time less and $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{vt}$ | 2 |  |
| (iii) | first ball since it will bounce more $\checkmark$, less friction $\checkmark$ ( or second ball because horizontal component of velocity is greater $\checkmark$ so friction takes 'longer' to stop it $\checkmark$ ) | 2 | 2 marks for (i) a plausible statement, (ii) supported by the physics |
|  | total | 11 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { (a)(i) } \end{gathered}$ | $1-2.0\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right) \checkmark \quad 2+8.0\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right) \checkmark$ | 2 | For omitting/confusing + and - signs (max 1) |
| (ii) | Using $t=x / v \checkmark \quad 2 / 2+2 / 8 \checkmark \quad(=1.25 \mathrm{hr})$ no ecf here | 2 |  |
| (b)(i) | correct drawing/sketch of velocity vectors accuracy of scale giving $37^{\circ}$ or $\sin \theta=0.6=37^{\circ} \checkmark$ | 2 |  |
| (ii) | Using accurate scale drawing in (b)(i) $\checkmark=4.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~h}^{-1} \checkmark$ (or by trig / pythgoras $\checkmark$ answer shown $\checkmark$ ) | 2 |  |
| (c) | for showing position of $P$ is $/ \mathrm{km}$ from $A$ and $B \checkmark$ for scale drawing or $\tan \theta=0.5 \checkmark(. . \theta=26.6)$ | 2 |  |
|  | total | 10 |  |
|  | Section B total | 41 |  |


| Qn | Expected Answers <br> Section C | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12$ <br> (a) | for stating a quantum phenomenon | 1 | see supplementary sheets |
| (b) (c) | essentially correct $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ <br> satisfactory but with some significant error/omission $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> some attempt made $\checkmark$ <br> for observations that could be made with the apparatus described up to $4 \checkmark_{0} \checkmark_{0} \checkmark_{0} \checkmark_{0}$ | 3 4 | mark $\checkmark_{0}$ and $\checkmark_{e}$ in both (c) and (d) |
| (d) | for points of explanation $\checkmark_{e}{ }_{e}{ }^{\gamma{ }^{\gamma_{e}}}$ <br> (not all points of explanation will necessarily be quantum) | 4 | must be rooted in a quantum explanation |
|  | total | 12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ | for stating type of wave $\checkmark$ | 1 | see supplementary sheets |
|  | sensible order of magnitude of wavelength $\checkmark$ sensible order of magnitude of velocity | 2 | , |
| (b) | essentially correct $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ <br> satisfactory with some significant error/omission $\checkmark \checkmark$ some attempt made $\checkmark$ | 3 1 |  |
| (c) | 1 enough detail to perform operation | 1 |  |
| (d) | for three salient observations that could be made $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ | 3 |  |
|  | for explaining each of the observations described $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ | 3 | Bright .. |
|  | total | 13 |  |
|  | Quality of Written Communication | 4 |  |
|  | Section C total | 29 |  |
|  | Total for paper | 90 |  |


| Question | Expected Answers | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1(\mathrm{a}) \\ & \mathrm{c}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{-21} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \\ 10^{-15} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2(a) \\ & (b) \end{aligned}$ | factor $=440 / 122 \checkmark=3.6 \checkmark$ (two marks for answer) <br> light from more distant objects has travelled for a greater time/distance $\checkmark$ so more expansion. $\checkmark$ AW (penalise lack of clarity) | 2 |
| 3 (a) | valid test on two or more pairs of data $\checkmark$ (showing constant ratio) valid conclusion $\checkmark$ OR: linear graph and clear argument/log graph $\checkmark$ quality $\checkmark$ e.g. radioactive decay, decay of charge on capacitor $\checkmark$ | 2 |
| (b) | $\mathrm{pV}=\mathrm{nRT} \checkmark \quad V=\mathrm{nRT} / \mathrm{p}=2 \times 8.3 \times 310 / 1.5 \times 10^{5}=3.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \checkmark$ | 1 |
| 4 |  | 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{x}=9.81 \times 0.4 / 22 \times 10^{-3} \checkmark=178 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \checkmark$ ORA penalise lack of quality |  |
| $5(a)$ | $f=(1 / 2 \pi) \cdot(k / m)^{1 / 2} \checkmark=0.16 \times(180 / 0.4)^{1 / 2} \checkmark=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \checkmark$ ecf (If time period used without frequency calculation one mark only) | 2 |
| (b) | $2.7 \times 7.3=19.7 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~J}$ | 3 |
| 6 (a) <br> (a) <br> (b) <br> (c) | force (on clown) $=$ rate of change of momentum $\checkmark$, and clear Newton 3 argument relating to clown and water. $\checkmark$ <br> (as water bounces off clown) the velocity change is greater than $7.3 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1} \checkmark$ so change of momentum per second is greater than 19.7 N so force on clown increased.. <br> Section A total 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 (a) (i) | $E=1 / 2 C V^{2}=1 / 2 \times 200 \times 10^{-6} \times 390^{2} \checkmark=15(.2) \mathrm{J} \checkmark$ | 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=15(.2) / 0.01 \checkmark=1500 \mathrm{~W} \checkmark$ ecf | 2 |
| (iii) | Pd or current not constant over time $\checkmark$ so the power (energy per second) is falling $\checkmark$. $5 R C=0.01 R=0.01 /\left(5 \times 200 \times 10^{-6}\right) \quad \checkmark=10 \Omega$ | 2 |
| (b) | $E \alpha V^{2} V \alpha E^{1 / 2} \checkmark$ therefore factor increase is $\sqrt{ } 2 \checkmark$ or by calculation of new value | 2 |
| (c) | $\checkmark$ leading to factor $\checkmark$ ecf a (1) | 2 |
| (d) | Lower pd across single capacitor $\checkmark$ so less chance of breakdown. or sensible alternatives leading to logical conclusion. (e.g.; smaller size leading to easier implantation) | 2 |


| 8(a) (i) | Greatest displacement $\checkmark$ from equilibrium position $\checkmark$ (can use diagram). | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) <br> (iii) <br> (iv) <br> (v) | ```0 mm 0 m s a=(-) 4\mp@subsup{\pi}{}{2}\mp@subsup{f}{}{2}x=(-)4\mp@subsup{\pi}{}{2}\times100\times0.035\checkmark=138\mp@subsup{m s}{}{-2}\checkmark\mathrm{ SF penalty ( }\leqslant3\mathrm{ sf OK)} either calculate force due to oscillation }\checkmark\mathrm{ and compare with weight }\checkmark\mathrm{ or compare values of accelerations \checkmark and link to accelerating force }\checkmark\mathrm{ ecf.``` | 2 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) (i) } \\ & \text { (ii) } \end{aligned}$ | Natural frequency of aerial $\checkmark$ same as driving/satellite frequency $\checkmark$ AW Sensible change $\checkmark$ to damp or shift resonant frequency $\checkmark$. | 2 |
| 9(a) | Calculating energy as 108 kJ (can be implicit) $\checkmark$ Temp change $=108000 /(0.4 \times 4200) \quad \checkmark=65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \checkmark$. | 3 |
| (b) | As $T$ increases ( $e^{-E k T}$ gets bigger) BF bigger $\checkmark$ hence greater chance/proportion of molecules entering vapour state $\checkmark$. AW | 2 |
| (c) (i) | $1^{\text {st }}$ marking point: As the number of molecules in the vapour increases so does the number of collisions (per second) OR Pressure = Force / Area argument $\checkmark$ | 2 |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ marking point: consideration of rate of change of momentum on collision (with the lid) $\checkmark$. <br> OR explanation based on gas equations $\checkmark$ stating constant volume $\checkmark$ |  |
| (c)(ii) | Faster collisions with lid (giving greater change of momentum per collision) $\checkmark$ more collisions per unit time | 2 |
|  | OR explanation based on gas equations $\checkmark$ stating constant volume $\checkmark$ |  |
| (c) (iii) | Sensible comment relating to lid blowing off/soup all over the microwave $\checkmark$. | 1 |


| 10 (a) | Straight line $\checkmark$ through origin $\checkmark$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b)(i) | $F=m a=m \times v^{2} / R \checkmark$ | 1 |
| (ii) | $F=(-) G M m / R^{2} \checkmark$ | 1 |
| (iii) | $m v^{2} / R=G M m / R^{2} \checkmark$ | 1 |
| (iv) | $(2 \pi R / T)^{2} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{GM} \checkmark$ worked through to given equation $\checkmark$ (or alternative route) $\checkmark \checkmark$ | 2 |
| (v) | $M_{s}=4 \pi^{2}\left(1.5 \times 10^{11}\right)^{3} / 6.7 \times 10^{-11} \times\left(3.2 \times 10^{7}\right)^{2} \checkmark=1.94 \times 10^{30} \checkmark$ | 2 |
| (c) | suggestion linked to $\checkmark$ sensible explanation $\checkmark$. | 2 |
|  | QoWC Section B total | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1(\mathrm{a}) \\ & 1(\mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ | 50 <br> Calculate: $\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}}=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}$ (eor) $n_{2}=1840 \times(6 / 230)=48$ (award [2] for correct answer) | 1 1 1 |
| 2 | rearranged formula ( $k=V r / Q$ ), $Q$ is $C, r$ is $m$ $V$ has units of $\mathrm{JC}^{-1}$ (wtte) (so units of $k$ are $\mathrm{J}^{-1} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$ ) accept reverse argument | 1 |
| 3 (a) | smooth curve downwards (by myopic eye) emerges between 0 and 2.5 cm left of vertical line through nucleus | 1 <br> 1 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 1 |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { (a) } \\ & 4 \text { (b) } \end{aligned}$ | $\left(30 \times 10^{-3} \times 40 \times 80=96\right) \quad C$ $\text { risk }=3 \times 30 \times 10^{-3} \times 40=3.6 \%$ <br> (accept 0.036 with $\%$ crossed out). | 1 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5(\mathrm{a}) \\ & 5(\mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{-14} \mathrm{~m} \\ & 10^{-18} \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6(\mathrm{a}) \\ & 6(\mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ | horizontal line touching $X$ (by eye) <br> arrow from right to left $\leftarrow$ pointing towards charged conductor <br> spacing between equipotentiais/circles/lines increases (with increasing distance) (ora) <br> answer in terms field lines worth [0] | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7(a) \\ & 7(b) \end{aligned}$ | neutrons (accept circled in list) <br> C (accept positron or ring around positron) | 1 |
| $8 \text { (a) }$ $8 \text { (b) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculate: } E=k Q / r^{2} \text { (eor) } \\ & Q=E r^{2} / k=5 \times 10^{6} \times 0.025^{2} / 9 \times 10^{9}=3.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ <br> correct answer worth [2] (accept $3 \times 10^{-7}$ ) <br> any of the following <br> - air becomes a conductor / breaks down <br> - charge/current leaks from surface of conductor <br> - air molecules/atoms are ionised by the electric field <br> - sparks carry charge away from conductor <br> - field affects conductance/resistance of air <br> - stray ions neutralise charge | 1 1 1 |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(a)(i) | continuous loop passing through the letters N and S (by eye) | 1 |
|  | threading the coil, mostly within the iron core | 1 |
| 9(a)(ii) | any of the following, maximum [2] (wtte) <br> - guides/conducts flux lines (through coil) / links flux to coil <br> - becomes magnetised by rotor <br> - easy to magnetise / demagnetise / realign magnetic dipoles (wtte) <br> - increases the strength of the field / more flux (in the coil) <br> - high permeance / permeability <br> - low remanence / hysteresis / energy loss (wtte) <br> - provides magnetic circuit (for flux) <br> Iron is a magnetic material worth [ 0 ] | 2 |
| 9(b)(i) | sinusoidal shape, correct period, constant amplitude, at least one cycle (by eye) <br> correct phase, either $\pi / 2$ ahead or behind | 1 |
| 9(b)(ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Show: (revolutions per second })=1 / 15 \times 10^{-3} \\ & =67 \quad \text { NOT } 66 \text { or } 70 \\ & \text { (accept reverse argument }(14 \mathrm{~ms}) \text { for full marks) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 9(c) | any of the following, maximum [3] <br> - reduces eddy currents (accepts stops) <br> - caused by changes of flux (linkage) (in the core) <br> - by increasing resistance / decreasing conductance of the core <br> - eddy currents create magnetic field / flux <br> - which (partially) cancel out field / flux of rotor (i.e. Lenz's Law) <br> - eddy currents reduce the field / flux (in the coil/core) <br> - eddy currents dissipate (heat) energy in the core <br> - (laminations) increase flux / flux density / flux linkage (in coil/core) <br> back emf is neutral | 3 |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10(a)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculate: proton number }=94 \\ & \text { neutron number }=239-94=145 \\ & \text { ecf incorrect proton or neutron number: } \\ & \text { total mass }=94 \times 1.673 \times 10^{-27}+145 \times 1.674 \times 10^{-27}=3.999 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \text { accept } 4(.000) \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{~kg} \text { and no incorrect calculation for }[3] \\ & \text { accept } 5.573 \times 10^{-25} \text { for }[2] \text { i.e. } 239 \text { neutrons and } 94 \text { protons } \\ & \text { accept } 3.994 \times 10^{-25} \text { for }[1] \text { i.e. } 94 \text { neutrons and } 145 \text { protons } \\ & 4.001 \times 10-25 \text { worth [0] i.e. } 239 \text { neutrons } \\ & 3.998 \times 10^{-25} \text { worth [0] i.e. } 239 \text { protons } \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 |
| 10(a)(ii) | any of the following, maximum [2] <br> - difference caused by binding energy <br> - (strong) forces/bonds hold neutrons and protons in nucleus <br> - particles have less energy/mass in the nucleus (ora) <br> - $E=m c^{2}$ (wtte) <br> - do work to separate the particles in a nucleus | 2 |
| 10(b)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda / 2=2 \times 7.4 \times 10^{-15} \\ & \lambda=4 \times 7.4 \times 10^{-15}=\underline{2.96} \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~m}\left(=3 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~m}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 10(b)(ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Show: } \lambda=h / p \\ & p=h / \lambda \text { (eor for rearranged formula) } \\ & p=6.6 \times 10^{-34} / 2.96 \times 10^{-14}=2.23 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~s}\left(\text { or } \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right. \text { ) } \\ & \text { ecf incorrect } \lambda: 2.20 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~s} \text { for } 3 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { accept reverse calculation for [2] } \end{aligned}$ | 0 1 1 |
| 10(b)(iii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculate: EITHER } E_{\mathrm{k}}=p^{2} / 2 m \text { OR } E_{\mathrm{k}}=m v^{2} / 2, p=m v \\ & E_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(2.2 \times 10^{-20}\right)^{2} / 2 \times 6.7 \times 10^{-27}=3.6 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~J} \\ & \text { ACCEPT } 3.7 \times 10^{-14} \text { NOT } 4 \times 10^{-14} \\ & \text { ACCEPT } 3(.0) \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~J} \text { for } 2 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~s}, \end{aligned}$ | 1 |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10(c) | plausible suggestion of mechanism <br> argument linking mechanism to decay <br> e.g. <br> some energy (levels) above the ground state <br> give the particle enough energy to escape | 1 |
| collisions (with other particles) in nucleus |  |  |
| gives alpha particle enough energy to escape |  |  |
| when alpha particle is raised above ground state |  |  |
| it may be able to tunnel through the walls of the well |  |  |
| particle has finite chance of being outside nucleus |  |  |
| because standing wave extends beyond the potential well |  |  |$\quad 1$|  |
| :--- |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(a)(i) | mass numbers correct charge numbers correct ${ }_{94}^{239} \mathrm{Pu} \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 1 1 |
| 11(a)(ii) | Show: $\lambda=0.693 / T_{1 / 2}$ $\lambda=0.693 / 7.6 \times 10^{11}=9.12 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> ecf incorrect decay constant: $A=\lambda N$ $A=9.12 \times 10^{-13} \times 2.5 \times 10^{14}=\underline{228} \mathrm{~Bq}(\text { accept } 230)$ <br> (which is >> 1 Bq ) <br> accept reverse argument showing $1.1 \times 10^{12}$ nuclei for 1 Bq for [2] | 0 1 0 1 |
| 11(a)(iii) | $m=239 \times 1.7 \times 10^{-27} \times 2.5 \times 10^{14}=1(.0) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 |
| 11(a)(iv) | any of the following, maximum [3] <br> - no risk from alpha particles from outside the body (wtte) <br> - alpha particles have short range / low penetration <br> - produce very heavy ionisation / high quality factor <br> - because of their high charge / mass <br> - can damage cells so that they mutate (wite) NOT kill cells <br> - only a few cells/local tissue is irradiated (wtte) <br> - leading to a high dose for that tissue <br> reference to beta particles / gamma photons are neutral | 3 |
| 11(b)(i) | 8 | 1 |
| 11(b)(ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculate: } E_{\mathrm{e}}=k Q q / r \\ & E_{\mathrm{e}}=9 \times 10^{9} \times 1.5 \times 10^{-17} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} / 7.4 \times 10^{-14}=2.9 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 |


| Question | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12(a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculate: } \quad F=B q v \\ & v=F / B q=9.6 \times 10^{-23} / 0.12 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \\ & =5.0 \times 10^{-3} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \text { ACCEPT } 5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | 0 1 1 1 |
| 12(b) | any of the following, maximum [2] <br> - magnetic force at right angles to field direction <br> - magnetic force at right angles to motion <br> - electrons (NOT charge) pushed to bottom edge of strip <br> - leaving positive ions at the top edge of the strip (ACCEPT holes pushed to the top) | 2 |
| 12(c)(i) | vertical, evenly spaced lines in field region, at least three downward arrow $\downarrow$ on each line | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12(c)(ii) | Show: EITHER $F=e V / d$ OR $E=V / d, F=e E$ $F=1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 9.6 \times 10^{-6} / 16 \times 10^{-3}=\left(9.6 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12(d) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Show: } F_{\mathrm{m}}=B e v, F_{\mathrm{e}}=e E \text { so } B e v=e E \\ & B e v=e V / d \\ & V=B v d(=\text { constant } \times B) \\ & \text { watch for confused } v \text { and } V \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Quality of Written Communication | 4 |


| Qn | Ex | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (a) | $\text { (i) } \begin{aligned} 5.4 \mathrm{MeV} & =5.4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{eV} \checkmark \\ & =5.4 \times 10^{6} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \\ \text { (ii) } \begin{aligned} 1 / 2 m v^{2}= & 8.6 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} \Rightarrow v \end{aligned} & =\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 8.6 \times 10^{-13}}{6.6 \times 10^{-27}}} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \\ & =1.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Can use $9 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}$ ora from $v=2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| (b) | (i) $206 \checkmark$ <br> (ii) $0=206 v+4 \times 2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \Rightarrow v=(-) 3.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark$ <br> (iii) $v$ smaller, $m$ bigger $\checkmark$ (this factor occurs) twice in $v^{2}$ and once in $m$ so $1 / 2 m v^{2}$ smaller $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ $2$ | First mark is application of conservation of momentum <br> Using $1.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \Rightarrow$ $v=3.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> Can use masses in kg Can refer to same $p$ to justify $m / v$ relationship Arithmetic method OK |
| 2 (a) | Reference to proton-electron (beta) charge balance $\checkmark$ | 1 | $83=84-1+0$ is $\checkmark$ |
| (b) | Not ionising (and detectors observe ionisation) | 1 |  |
| (c) | (i) $\Delta m=209.93845-209.93666-0.00055=0.00124 \mathrm{u}$ $=0.00124 \times 1.7 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}=2.11 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{~kg} \checkmark$ (2.something small $\times 10^{-30} \mathrm{~kg}$ allows for rounding error) <br> (ii) $E=m c^{2}=2.11 \times 10^{-30} \times\left(3.0 \times 10^{8}\right)^{2}=1.9 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \vee \mathrm{e}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Ecf possible here |
| 3 (a) | annihilation produces 2 photons in opposite directions $\checkmark$ | 1 | Could refer to coincidence counting |
| (b) | Neutron slower than positron / neutron less easily absorbed than positron/ Cd nuclei rarer than electrons | 1 | Any reasonable suggestion |
| (c) | (i) (Counts of) photon pair (+ single photon just after) $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) Background radiation/cosmic rays/stray photonsl | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Allow neutrinos |
| (d) | Reduces noise/background radiation $\downarrow$ By absorb all cosmic radiation/AW $\checkmark$ | 2 | Must specify/imply radiation from space not rocks for second $\checkmark$ |


| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 (a) | (i) number (of neutrinos) per (unit) time $\checkmark$ per (unit) area $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) flux $=2 \times 10^{38} / 2.8 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~m}^{2}=7.1 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{e}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | or per m ${ }^{2}$ |
| (b) | (i) Area between 0.2 and $1.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark$ <br> (ii) Number $=\operatorname{area} \times 7.1 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \approx 4 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \sqrt{ } \mathrm{e}$ <br> (iii) Number absorbed $\mathrm{s}^{-1}=4 \times 10^{14} / 10^{18} \checkmark=4 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> so energy absorbed/year $=4 \times 10^{-4} \times 3.2 \times 10^{7} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}=$ <br> $2.1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark$ <br> absorbed dose $=2.1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~J} / 65 \mathrm{~kg}=3 \times 10^{-11} \checkmark \mathrm{~Gy} /$ grays $/ \mathrm{J}$ $\mathrm{kg}^{-1} \downarrow$ <br> (iv) Insignificant dose/ low quality factor/not much energy absorbed/ weakly interacting $\checkmark$ | $2$ | sfe for $>2$ sf can use $7 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ecf for neutrino numbers and area |
| 5 (a) | (i) steadily increasing line of (roughly) constant gradient $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) increases from 0 and (quickly) levels off $\checkmark$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Allow large random variations (see (d)) |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} T_{1 / 2} & =\ln 2 / 2.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~s}=3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}(=34.9 \mathrm{days}) \\ & \approx 2.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{se} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | A stated or implied comparison with a month is needed. |
| (c) | Low count rate hard to distinguish from background / tiny amount of Ar compared with the vast volume of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| (d) | neutrino interactions are infrequent and random $;$ Ar-37 decays also infrequent and random $\checkmark$; small counts tend to fluctuate $\checkmark$ | 2 | Any two relevant points; may refer to elliptical orbit of Earth or to neutrino oscillations |
| 6(a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance }=3.0 \times 10^{8} \times 3.2 \times 10^{7} \times 40000 \mathrm{~m} \\ & =3.8 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |
| (b) | Continual absorption and emission $\checkmark$ random changes in direction | 2 | Can compare with gas diffusion for one |
| (c) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} 1000 \text { (actually } 1034 \text { ) } \times \text { higher } \checkmark \Rightarrow \text { E } 1000 \times \text { higher } \checkmark \\ & \Rightarrow \mathrm{f} 1000 \times \text { higher } \checkmark \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Arithmetically: $E=k T$ calc $\checkmark, E=h f$ calc $\checkmark$ and ratio $\checkmark$ |
| (d) | $\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{N L}=2.0 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} \Rightarrow N L^{2}=4.0 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & N L=4.0 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~m} \Rightarrow N L^{2} / N L=L=4.0 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 4.0 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~m} \\ & =1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\checkmark \mathrm{m}$ for combining two equations $\checkmark \mathrm{m}$ for rearranging. <br> $L$ « solar radius for $\checkmark$ e |


| 7 (a) | iron nucleus has most negative binding energy/ greatest mass defect/is most stable $\checkmark$ so no stability gained by further fusion | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b) | (i) time delay of 340000 years (impossibly huge) <br> (ii) Hubble's Law / cosmological redshift concerns separate groups of galaxies $\checkmark$ this galaxy (Larger Magellanic Cloud) is gravitationally bound to ours/AW $\checkmark$ | 1 | (ii) Allow: supernova's galaxy relatively close $\checkmark$ so small redshift $\downarrow$ |
| (c) | As star explodes, matter ejected (at exceedingly high speed) so less obstruction for photons $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 8 (a) | (i) $I V t=10 \times 230 \times 3 \times 60 \mathrm{~J}=4.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \approx 4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{e}$ <br> (ii) $m c \Delta T=1 \times 4200 \times(100-20)=3.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \approx 3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{e}$ | 2 |  |
| (b) | Photons have higher average energy $\checkmark$; therefore they are higher frequency $\checkmark$ | 2 | Quoting $E=h f=k T$ implies photons. |
| (c) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i) } E=h f=6.6 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{j} \times 4.0 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & =2.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \approx 3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{e} \\ & \text { (ii) Number } \mathrm{s}^{-1}=1000 \mathrm{~W} / 3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=3 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \mathrm{mve} \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 | Can use $2.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ in <br> (ii) to get $4 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> Must have 1000 J in 1 s |
| (d) | Transparent case $\checkmark$ so many photons escape $\checkmark$ / less well absorbed $\checkmark$ / bubbles reflect photons $\checkmark$ so not penetrating all of water $\checkmark$ | 2 | $\checkmark$ for any reasonable suggestion including lower power rating, for explanation $\checkmark / \checkmark \checkmark$ for two separate suggested reasons. |
| (e) | (i) property $\checkmark$ explanation $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) property $\checkmark$ explanation $\checkmark$ <br> e.g. insulating $\checkmark$ so little heat lost by conduction $\checkmark$ Now mass $\checkmark$ so little energy needed to heat up $\checkmark$ /low thermal conductivity $\checkmark$ so cooler outer surface is less dangerous to touch $\checkmark$ <br> /unreactive material of case $\checkmark$ so does not rust $\checkmark$ | 2 | Not 'cheap'. Explanation mark must be linked to the property. |



# OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) <br> 1 Hills Road <br> Cambridge <br> CB1 2EU 

## OCR Information Bureau

(General Qualifications)
Telephone: 01223553998
Facsimile: 01223552627
Email: helpdesk@ocr.org.uk
www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
Is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU
nvestor in people
Registered Company Number: 3484466
-
OCR is an exempt Charity
OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223552552
Facsimile: 01223552553

