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## Mark Scheme 2860 June 2007

## Physics B (Advancing Physics) mark schemes - an introduction

Just as the philosophy of the Advancing Physics course develops the student's understanding of Physics, so the philosophy of the examination rewards the candidate for showing that understanding. These mark schemes must be viewed in that light, for in practice the examiners' standardisation meeting is of at least equal importance.

The following points need to be borne in mind when reading the published mark schemes:

- Alternative approaches to a question are rewarded equally with that given in the scheme, provided that the physics is sound. As an example, when a candidate is required to "Show that..." followed by a numerical value, it is always possible to work back from the required value to the data.
- Open questions, such as the questions in section $C$ permit a very wide variety of approaches, and the candidate's own approach must be rewarded according to the degree to which it has been successful. Real examples of differing approaches are discussed in standardisation meetings, and specimen answers produced by candidates are used as 'case law' for examiners when marking scripts.
- Final and intermediate calculated values in the schemes are given to assist the examiners in spotting whether candidates are proceeding correctly. Mark schemes frequently give calculated values to degrees of precision greater than those warranted by the data, to show values that one might expect to see in candidates' working.
- Where a calculation is worth two marks, one mark is generally given for the method, and the other for the evaluation of the quantity to be calculated.
- If part of a question uses a value calculated earlier, any error in the former result is not penalised further, being counted as error carried forward: the candidate's own previous result is taken as correct for the subsequent calculation.
- Inappropriate numbers of significant figures in a final answer are penalised by the loss of a mark, generally once per examination paper. The maximum number of significant figures deemed to be permissible is one more than that given in the data; two more significant figures would be excessive. This does not apply in questions where candidates are required to show that a given value is correct.
- Where units are not provided in the question or answer line the candidate is expected to give the units used in the answer.
- Quality of written communication will be assessed where there are opportunities to write extended prose.


## SECTION C

The outline mark schemes given here will be given more clarity by the papers seen when the examination is taken. Some of these scripts will be used as case law to establish the quality of answer required to gain the marks available.
It is not possible to write a mark scheme that anticipates every example which students have studied.

For some of the longer descriptive questions three marks will be used (in scheme called the 1/2/3 style).

1 will indicate an attempt has been made
2 will indicate the description is satisfactory, but contains errors
3 will indicate the description is essentially correct

## ADVICE TO EXAMINERS ON THE ANNOTATION OF SCRIPTS

1 Please ensure that you use the final version of the Mark Scheme.
You are advised to destroy all draft versions.
2 Please mark all post-standardisation scripts in red ink. A tick $(\checkmark)$ should be used for each answer judged worthy of a mark. Ticks should be placed as close as possible to the point in the answer where the mark has been awarded. The number of ticks should be the same as the number of marks awarded. If two (or more) responses are required for one mark, use only one tick. Half marks ( $1 / 2$ ) should never be used.

3 The following annotations may be used when marking. No comments should be written on scripts unless they relate directly to the mark scheme. Remember that scripts may be returned to Centres.

```
x = incorrect response (errors may also be underlined)
^ = omission mark
bod = benefit of the doubt (where professional judgement has been used)
ecf = error carried forward (in consequential marking)
con = contradiction (in cases where candidates contradict themselves in the same
    response)
    sf = error in the number of significant figures
```

4 The marks awarded for each part question should be indicated in the margin provided on the right hand side of the page. The mark total for each double page should be ringed at the end of the question, on the bottom right hand side. These totals should be added up to give the final total on the front of the paper.

5 In cases where candidates are required to give a specific number of answers, (eg 'give three reasons'), mark the first answer(s) given up to the total number required. Strike through the remainder. In specific cases where this rule cannot be applied, the exact procedure to be used is given in the mark scheme.

6 Correct answers to calculations should gain full credit even if no working is shown, unless otherwise indicated in the mark scheme. (An instruction on the paper to 'Show your working' is to help candidates, who may then gain partial credit even if their final answer is not correct.)

7 Strike through all blank spaces and/or pages in order to give a clear indication that the whole of the script has been considered.

8 An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper, and candidates may not use the exact words that appear in the mark scheme. If the science is correct and answers the question, then the mark(s) should normally be credited. If you are in doubt about the validity of any answer, contact your Team Leader/Principal Examiner for guidance.


| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a) (b) (c) | Section B <br> (i) $1.6(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ <br> (ii) only 1 bit at a time under spot / spot is about $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide/ can't make spot smaller <br> (iii) bytes to bits ; correct method ; evaluation Length $=650 \times 10^{6} \times 8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}=5.2 \mathrm{~km}$ to 10.4 km <br> tracks have $1 / 2$ spacing ; bits have $1 / 2$ spacing $1 / 4$ area per bit/ $4 \times$ density of bits/ info ratio $=4$ <br> digital maps on internet; useful for route finding on move <br> video camera info from public places can be stored without knowledge or consent ; could be regarded as an invasion of privacy | 1 1 <br> 3 <br> 2 1 <br> 2 $\underline{2}$ <br> 12 | any reasonable resolution / diffraction answer AW full marks for correct evaluation <br> NOT resolution is $\times 2$ ecf on $1 / 2$ <br> any sensible suggestions ; societal justifications in context allow bod only once (c) |
| 8(a) <br> (b) <br> (c) <br> (d) <br> (e) | P/A <br> (i) equal scale increments represent equal factors / $\times 10$ <br> (ii) graph value 5 ; $1000 / \mathrm{k} \quad(\Omega)$ <br> (i) $10^{4} \quad ; \Omega \mathrm{Wm}^{-2} / 10 \mathrm{k} \Omega \mathrm{W} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ both marks <br> (ii) C <br> (free) electrons take about 50 ms to return to bonds / no new free electrons produced after light goes off <br> (i) double the number of photons / energy doubles the number of free electrons / charge carriers (per unit time) <br> (ii) current / conductance $\propto$ intensity / carrier density resistance $\propto 1 /$ current $/ R=V / I \quad$ at fixed $V$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | AW <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ depends on first allow ecf in b(ii) $\times 2$ <br> treat as <br> comprehension of root of question qualitative arguments score 0 both parts if conductance is used $G=1 / R$ gets $2^{\text {nd }}$ mark |
| 9(a) (b) | (i) (-) $4.8 \times 10^{-18}$ (C) <br> (ii) nano - on atomic / $10^{-9} \mathrm{OR} \mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{nA} / \mathrm{ns}$ scale ; <br> switch - makes / breaks electrical contact <br> (i) height $=2.0(\mathrm{~nm})$ from graph ; $2.0 / 0.29=7 /$ <br> 6.9 ecf <br> (ii) as base widens more atoms required so subsequent <br> layers take longer to form ( $\propto$ no. of layers $)^{2}$ <br> (iii) switching time is shorter / less energy etc. to switch / greater switch density/stronger field / force on ions | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \frac{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ | evaluation <br> gives on / off action <br> accept 6 <br> different numbers of atoms per layer OK frequency is larger |


| 10a) | (i) $R=(\rho L) / A$ | 1 | recall formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (ii) $L=(R A) / \rho / 120 \times 8 \times 10^{-10} / 4 . \times 10^{-7}$ | 1 | realrange |
|  | $=0.2(0)(\mathrm{m})$ | 1 | evaluate |
|  | (iii) to make sensor shorter / more manageable $/$ | 1 | accept smaller |
|  | more sensitive ( for same extension than single |  | sensor |
|  | wire) |  | NOT to make bigger |
| $R$ |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Qn \& Expected Answers \& Marks \& Additional Guidance \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
11ai) (ii) \\
(b) i) \\
(ii) \\
(c)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Section C \\
eg i.r. satellite imaging system diagram: \(1 / 2 / 3\) style eg satellite system / foetal scanner / digital camera/Hubble space telescope description / good annotation to diagram : 1/2/3 style \\
eg resolution of i.r. satellite image \(=100 ; m\) (pixel \({ }^{-1}\) ) \\
eg longer focal length system ; so that image on detector is larger / greater density of pixels on the detector ; so that length imaged on one pixel is smaller \\
cloud patterns for use in weather / disaster prediction \\
land use survey to check on crop distributions
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1
3 \\
2 \\
2 \\
\(\underline{2}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \frac{1}{1} \\
\& \frac{1}{12}
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
(ii) max 5 for both diagram and description \\
allow \(\pm 1\) on sensible order of magnitude
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 12ai)
(ii)
(iii)
(b) i)

(ii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
eg Force <br>
Circuit diagram with sensor / potential divider, Ameter, Vmeter and supply of p.d. correctly connected. <br>
Sensor is QTC (Quantum Tunnelling Composite) pill. <br>
When stressed its resistance drops exponentially, raising the p.d. across the series resistor, increasing the output p.d. to the Vmeter. <br>
response time : how long it takes the electrical output signal from the sensor to settle to final value after the physical variable is changed <br>
linearity: the graph of sensor electrical output signal plotted against the physical variable being sensed varying is a straight line graph. <br>
Change and ;state instrument (to measure the physical variable ) <br>
(eg alter Force by using known weights) ; measure the output p.d. from the sensor for each F; <br>
Plot a calibration graph of p.d. against Force ; and see if it is a straight line

 \& 

1 <br>
3 <br>
2 <br>
1 <br>
1 <br>
2 <br>
1
1
1 <br>
13

 \& 

1/2/3 style lose 1 mark for each error <br>
expect good statements <br>
$\Delta \mathrm{y} / \Delta \mathrm{x}=$ constant <br>
NOT linear through origin
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& Quality of written communication ${ }^{\text {Total section } \mathrm{C}}$ \& $\frac{4}{29}$ \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## QoWC Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark (0-4) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in Section C of the paper.

4 max The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultless accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

1 The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.
$0 \quad$ The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.
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## Physics B (Advancing Physics) mark schemes - an introduction

Just as the philosophy of the Advancing Physics course develops the student's understanding of Physics, so the philosophy of the examination rewards the candidate for showing that understanding. These mark schemes must be viewed in that light, for in practice the examiners' standardisation meeting is of at least equal importance.

The following points need to be borne in mind when reading the published mark schemes:

- Alternative approaches to a question are rewarded equally with that given in the scheme, provided that the physics is sound. As an example, when a candidate is required to "Show that..." followed by a numerical value, it is always possible to work back from the required value to the data.
- $\quad$ Open questions, such as the questions in section $C$ permit a very wide variety of approaches, and the candidate's own approach must be rewarded according to the degree to which it has been successful. Real examples of differing approaches are discussed in standardisation meetings, and specimen answers produced by candidates are used as 'case law' for examiners when marking scripts.
- $\quad$ Final and intermediate calculated values in the schemes are given to assist the examiners in spotting whether candidates are proceeding correctly. Mark schemes frequently give calculated values to degrees of precision greater than those warranted by the data, to show values that one might expect to see in candidates' working.
- Where a calculation is worth two marks, one mark is generally given for the method, and the other for the evaluation of the quantity to be calculated.
- If part of a question uses a value calculated earlier, any error in the former result is not penalised further, being counted as error carried forward: the candidate's own previous result is taken as correct for the subsequent calculation.
- Inappropriate numbers of significant figures in a final answer are penalised by the loss of a mark, generally once per examination paper. The maximum number of significant figures deemed to be permissible is one more than that given in the data; two more significant figures would be excessive. This does not apply in questions where candidates are required to show that a given value is correct.
- Where units are not provided in the question or answer line the candidate is expected to give the units used in the answer.
- Quality of written communication will be assessed where there are opportunities to write extended prose.


## SECTION C

The outline mark schemes given here will be given more clarity by the papers seen when the examination is taken. Some of these scripts will be used as case law to establish the quality of answer required to gain the marks available.
It is not possible to write a mark scheme that anticipates every example which students have studied.

For some of the longer descriptive questions three marks will be used (in scheme called the 1/2/3 style).

1 will indicate an attempt has been made
2 will indicate the description is satisfactory, but contains errors
3 will indicate the description is essentially correct

## ADVICE TO EXAMINERS ON THE ANNOTATION OF SCRIPTS

1 Please ensure that you use the final version of the Mark Scheme.
You are advised to destroy all draft versions.
2 Please mark all post-standardisation scripts in red ink. A tick $(\checkmark)$ should be used for each answer judged worthy of a mark. Ticks should be placed as close as possible to the point in the answer where the mark has been awarded. The number of ticks should be the same as the number of marks awarded. If two (or more) responses are required for one mark, use only one tick. Half marks ( $1 / 2$ ) should never be used.

3 The following annotations may be used when marking. No comments should be written on scripts unless they relate directly to the mark scheme. Remember that scripts may be returned to Centres.

```
x = incorrect response (errors may also be underlined)
^ = omission mark
bod = benefit of the doubt (where professional judgement has been used)
ecf = error carried forward (in consequential marking)
con = contradiction (in cases where candidates contradict themselves in the same
    response)
    sf = error in the number of significant figures
```

4 The marks awarded for each part question should be indicated in the margin provided on the right hand side of the page. The mark total for each double page should be ringed at the end of the question, on the bottom right hand side. These totals should be added up to give the final total on the front of the paper.

5 In cases where candidates are required to give a specific number of answers, (eg 'give three reasons'), mark the first answer(s) given up to the total number required. Strike through the remainder. In specific cases where this rule cannot be applied, the exact procedure to be used is given in the mark scheme.

6 Correct answers to calculations should gain full credit even if no working is shown, unless otherwise indicated in the mark scheme. (An instruction on the paper to 'Show your working' is to help candidates, who may then gain partial credit even if their final answer is not correct.)

7 Strike through all blank spaces and/or pages in order to give a clear indication that the whole of the script has been considered.

8 An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper, and candidates may not use the exact words that appear in the mark scheme. If the science is correct and answers the question, then the mark(s) should normally be credited. If you are in doubt about the validity of any answer, contact your Team Leader/Principal Examiner for guidance.


| $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ | wave having an antinode at each end and a single node in middle $\checkmark$ with ONE $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ labelled correctly $\checkmark \quad$ [wave having antinode at each end but multiple nodes, with $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ labelled appropriately $\checkmark$ ] | 2 | accept only top half of correct wave shown <br> * zero marks for diagram showing wave having Node at ends* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | $\lambda=4.0 \checkmark \quad(\mathrm{~m})$ <br> ecf from (a)(i) consistent with the diagram as drawn | 1 | here, assume diagram drawn in (a)(i) is correct |
| (iii) | $v=331+(0.61 \times 10)=337.1(340) \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 1 |  |
| (iv) | $f=337.1 / 4.0 \checkmark_{m}=84.3 \checkmark_{e}(\mathrm{~Hz})$ ecf from above | 2 | (a)(iii) / (a)(ii) |
| (b)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & 84.3 \times 1.05 \checkmark_{\mathrm{m}}=88.5 \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{~Hz}) \text { ecf from (a)(iv) } \\ & \text { (may calc. } 5 \% \text { of (a)(iv) } \\ & \text { then add it to (a)(iv)) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |
| (ii) | for calculating new $\mathbf{v}=88.5 \times 4=354 \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{e c f}$ for correct rearrangement $\theta=(354-331) / 0.61$ $\checkmark_{r}$ | 3 | (b)(i) $\times(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i})$ |
|  | Total | 11 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \times 10^{-34} \times 3.0 \times 10^{8} / 9.2 \times 10^{-8} \checkmark_{\mathrm{m}}=2.15 \times 10^{-18} \\ & \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{~J}) \end{aligned}$ | 2 | likely route $f=\tilde{c}$ then $E=h f$ |
| (ii) | for showing that 'remaining' energy $(\Delta \mathrm{E})$ is 1.8 x $10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$ $\begin{aligned} & \ldots \ldots \text { i.e. }\left(2.2 \times 10^{-18}-4.0 \times 10^{-19}\right)=1.8 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \\ & \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |
|  | so ke of electron cannot be greater than $\Delta \mathrm{E} \checkmark$ OAW |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { (iii) } \\ \text { (b)(i) } \\ \text { (ii) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=\left[\left(3.6 \times 10^{-18}\right) /\left(9.1 \times 10^{-31}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \checkmark_{\mathrm{m}}=2.0 \times 10^{6}(\mathrm{~m} \\ & \left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =\left(6.6 \times 10^{-34}\right) /\left(9.1 \times 10^{-31}\right)\left(2.0 \times 10^{6}\right) \checkmark_{\mathrm{s}} \\ & =3.6 \times 10^{-10}(\mathrm{~m}) \checkmark_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | using $1.98 \times 10^{6}$ gives $3.7 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | for stating $\lambda \approx \mathrm{d} /$ wavelength and spacing similar OAW $\checkmark$ | 2 |  |
|  | so rows of atoms act as a grating or behaves like Young's slits or get constructive and destructive interference or electrons diffract producing interference $\checkmark$ |  | read the explanation and judge the quality |
|  | Total | 10 |  |



| $12$ <br> (a) | a distance measurement stated $\checkmark$ | 1 | alternative methods might be classified as: 'echo sounding', 'parallax', or 'triangulation' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b) | a sensible justification of a distance measurement | 1 |  |
| (c) | some attempt has been made $\checkmark$ diagram is satisfactory, but some errors/omissions diagram is essentially correct $\ldots . . . . .+$ important equipment labelled $\checkmark$ | 4 | In (c) method must be plausible or zero for diagram |
| (d) | pulse $\checkmark$ <br> reflected from target $\checkmark$ <br> trip time measured | 3 | mark as independent of parts (a) to (c) <br> see appendix for parallax method points |
| (e) | ```s = vt idea } t is half trip time significance of v in the calc, or its numerical value``` | 3 |  |
|  | Total | 12 |  |
| 13 <br> (a) | For a situation where a quantum phenomenon is observed $\checkmark$ | 1 | if not a quantum phenomenon ... zero marks total |
| (b) | clear labelled diagram $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ $\ldots$ with some minor omissions or errors $\checkmark \checkmark$ .... for some attempt made sensibly labelled | 3 | 3/2/1 |
| (c) | for four separate relevant and correct items of description | 4 |  |
| (d) | read as a whole ... up to 4 marks for relevant quantum ideas $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ | 4 |  |
|  | Total | 13 |  |
|  | Quality of written communication Section C Total | 4 29 |  |

## QoWC Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark (0-4) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in Section C of the paper.

4 max The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultless accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

1 The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.
$0 \quad$ The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.
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| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Unit Code } \\ 2863 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Session June | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ |  | Version Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abbreviations, annotations and conventions used in the Mark Scheme |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qn | Expected Answers |  |  | Marks | Additional Guidance |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1 \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{~b} \\ \mathrm{c} \\ \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \checkmark \\ & 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \checkmark \\ & 2.1 \times 10^{-2} \checkmark \\ & 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \checkmark \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 1 1 | Accept 1.03 <br> Accept 0.014(1) <br> Accept 0.021(15) <br> Accept 0.0136 |
| 2 a b | Area 'under'/'over'/between line and $x$-axis stated Evidence of counting squares $\checkmark$ <br> Evidence of value of one square $\checkmark$ $900 \times 5 \times 10^{7} \checkmark=4.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | (NB actual value is greater than $5 \times 10^{7}$ ) (range $5 \times 10^{7}$-> $6 x$ $10^{7}$ ) Or other clear geometrical technique. ecf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy }=0.18 \times 4200 \times 10 \checkmark=7560 \mathrm{~J} \\ & \text { Mass }=7600 /(4000 \times 65) \checkmark=0.029 \mathrm{~kg} \checkmark(0.03) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $2$ | Clear working or evidence of calculation needed. One mark for (b) if 7.6 used |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 a \\ b \end{gathered}$ | (Approx) energy of a particle at temperature $T \checkmark$ AW Much greater than $k T \checkmark$ (greater than $30 k T$ or above) |  |  | $1$ | Need comparison and much AW |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 a \\ b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \times 10^{-3} \times 250 \checkmark=2 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \checkmark \text { accept 1.8,1.75 } \\ & \text { thrust }=\Delta p / \Delta t=1.75 / 0.2 \checkmark=9 \mathrm{~N} \checkmark \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ecf accept } 8.8 \mathrm{~N}, 10 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 8.75 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pV}=\mathrm{nRT} \checkmark \\ & =>\mathrm{V}=2 \times 8.3 \times 300 / 4.0 \times 10^{5} \checkmark=0.012 \checkmark \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 | Must see equation Accept 0.0125 |

## Section A total: 21

| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 (a) | A going away /B coming towards Earth $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| (b) (i) | $T=2 \pi \times 1.8 \times 10^{8} / 1.5 \times 10^{4} \checkmark=7.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s} \checkmark=20.9$ <br> hours | 2 | Clear working or evidence of calculation needed for second mark. |
| b (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}=(-) \mathrm{mv}^{2} / \mathrm{r} \checkmark=3000 \times\left(1.5 \times 10^{4}\right)^{2} / 1.8 \times 10^{8} \checkmark=(-) \\ & 3750 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Clear working or evidence of calculation needed for second mark. |
| b(iii) | $\begin{aligned} & F(\text { or } 3750)=(-) G M m / r^{2} \checkmark \\ & \therefore M=3750 \times\left(1.8 \times 10^{8}\right)^{2} / 3000 \times 6.7 \times 10^{-11} \checkmark=6 \times 10^{26} \\ & \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 6.0 OK as evidence of calculation $6.1(3) \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~kg}$ if 3800 N used |
| c | $(-) m v^{2} / r=(-) G M m / r^{2} \checkmark \therefore v^{2}=G M / r \checkmark \therefore v=(G M / r)^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | penalise lone negative signs in working |
| d | vel is proportional to $\mathrm{r}^{-0.5} \checkmark$ so $4 \times r$ decreases v by a factor of $4^{0.5} \checkmark=2$ AW | 2 | Need clear derivation for second mark (ie use the fact that rock is four times the distance) calculation acceptable if correct. |
| 8(a) | $\mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{dt}=\lambda \mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}=3.3 \times 10^{4} / 4.8 \times 10^{-11} \checkmark=6.9 \times 10^{14}$ | 2 |  |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { half life }=0.693 / 4.8 \times 10^{-11} \checkmark=1.4 \times 10^{10} s \checkmark \\ & =1.4 \times 10^{10} / 3.2 \times 10^{7} \checkmark=451 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Allow 457 or 458 |
| (c) | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{N}=-\lambda \mathrm{N} \Delta \mathrm{t} \\ & =5.3 \times 10^{12} \checkmark \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $5.4 \times 10^{12} \text { if } 7 \times 10^{14}$ <br> must give own value. |
| (d) | Any two from: <br> N will not change much over 5 year period/ N will change considerably over longer period $\checkmark$ or $\checkmark \mathrm{N} / \checkmark \mathrm{t}$ constant over five year period/changes significantly over longer period $\checkmark$ or 5 years is much less than half life $\checkmark$ | 2 |  |
| (e) | Hardly any difference in activity over a five year period. $\checkmark$ Other specific reasons: dust build up in detector, component failure $\checkmark$ AW | 2 |  |



QWC: 4 marks. 10 c (i) 9 (c) 8 (d), 7 (d) Section B total 49.

## QoWC Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark (0-4) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in Section C of the paper.

4 max The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultless accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

1 The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.
$0 \quad$ The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.

Mark Scheme 2864/01 June 2007

## Physics B (Advancing Physics) mark schemes - an introduction

Just as the philosophy of the Advancing Physics course develops the student's understanding of Physics, so the philosophy of the examination rewards the candidate for showing that understanding. These mark schemes must be viewed in that light, for in practice the examiners' standardisation meeting is of at least equal importance.

The following points need to be borne in mind when reading the published mark schemes:

- Alternative approaches to a question are rewarded equally with that given in the scheme, provided that the physics is sound. As an example, when a candidate is required to "Show that..." followed by a numerical value, it is always possible to work back from the required value to the data.
- Open questions permit a very wide variety of approaches, and the candidate's own approach must be rewarded according to the degree to which it has been successful. Real examples of differing approaches are discussed in standardisation meetings, and specimen answers produced by candidates are used as 'case law' for examiners when marking scripts.
- Final and intermediate calculated values in the scheme are given to assist the examiners in spotting whether candidates are proceeding correctly. Mark schemes frequently give calculated values to degrees of precision greater than those warranted by the data, to show values that one might expect to see in candidate's working.
- Where a calculation is worth two marks, one mark is generally given for the method, and the other for the evaluation of the quantity to be calculated.
- If part of a question uses a value calculated earlier, any error in the former result is not penalised further, being counted as error carried forward: the candidate's own previous result is taken as correct for the subsequent calculation.
- Inappropriate numbers of significant figures in a final answer are penalised by the loss of a mark, generally once per examination paper. The maximum number of significant figures deemed to be permissible is one more than that given in the data; two more significant figures would be excessive. This does not apply in questions where candidates are required to show that a given value is correct.
- Where units are not provided in the question or answer line the candidate is expected to give the units used in the answer.
- Quality of written communication will be assessed where there are opportunities to write extended prose.


## ADVICE TO EXAMINERS ON THE ANNOTATION OF SCRIPTS

1 Please ensure that you use the final version of the Mark Scheme. You are advised to destroy all draft versions.

2 Please mark all post-standardisation scripts in red ink. A tick $(\checkmark)$ should be used for each answer judged worthy of a mark. Ticks should be placed as close as possible to the point in the answer where the mark has been awarded. Ticks should not be placed in the righthand margin. The number of ticks should be the same as the number of marks awarded. If two (or more) responses are required for one mark, use only one tick. Half marks ( $1 / 2$ ) should never be used.

3 The following annotations may be used when marking. No comments should be written on scripts unless they relate directly to the mark scheme. Remember that scripts may be returned to Centres.
$\times \quad=$ incorrect response (errors may also be underlined)
$\wedge \quad=$ omission of mark
bod = benefit of the doubt (where professional judgement has been used)
ecf = error carried forward (in consequential marking)
con $=$ contradiction (where candidates contradict themselves in the same response
sf $=$ error in the number of significant figures
up = omission of units with answer
4 The marks awarded for each part question should be indicated in the right-hand margin. The mark total for each double page should be ringed at the bottom right-hand side. These totals should be added up to give the final total on the front of the paper.

5 In cases where candidates are required to give a specific number of answers, mark the first answers up to the total required. Strike through the remainder.

6 The mark awarded for Quality of Written Communication in the margin should equal the number of ticks under the phrase.

7 Correct answers to calculations should obtain full credit even if no working is shown, unless indicated otherwise in the mark scheme.

8 Strike through all blank spaces and pages to give a clear indication that the whole of the script has been considered.

The following abbreviations and conventions are used in the mark scheme:

| m | $=$ method mark |
| :--- | :--- |
| s | $=$ substitution mark |
| e | $=$ evaluation mark |
| $/$ | $=$ alternative correct answers |
| ; separates marking points |  |
| NOT | $=$ answers which are not worthy of credit |
| ( ) | $=$ words which are not essential to gain credit |
| $\overline{\text { ecf }}$ | $=$ (underlining) key words which must be used to gain credit |
| ora | $=$ orror carried forward |
| eor | $=$ eviderse argument |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1(a) } \\ & 1(\mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ | (electric) potential NOT voltage (magnetic) flux density NOT magnetic field strength | 1 1 |
| 2 | greater angular deflection of final path final path <br> - within 10 mm to the left of the "guide line" (by eye) <br> - has greater distance of closest approach <br> guide line parallel to final path, through centre of nucleus | 1 1 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & F=\frac{k q^{2}}{r^{2}} \\ & F=9.0 \times 10^{9} \times\left(1.6 \times 10^{-19}\right)^{2} /\left(0.53 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{2} \\ & F=8.2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ <br> incorrect initial formula leading to incorrect answer [0] | 1 1 1 |
| 4 | magnetic flux | 1 |
| 5(a) | betas have low penetration / are more highly ionising / are less likely to escape the body / have a short range; <br> (so) give the body or cells a larger (absorbed) dose / more risk of cancer / more chance of mutation; ACCEPT reverse argument for gamma photons |  |
| 5(b) | $\begin{aligned} & A=A 0 \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}} \\ & A=300 \times 10^{3} \times \mathrm{e}^{-7.8 \times 10^{-10} \times 56 \times 3.2 \times 10^{7}} \\ & A=7.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~Bq}(74 \mathrm{kBq}) \end{aligned}$ <br> ACCEPT $\mathrm{t}_{0.5}=0.693 / \lambda=28 \text { years }\left(8.8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}\right)[1]$ <br> this is 2.01 half-lives, so $A=A_{0} \times(0.5)^{2}=75 \mathrm{kBq}$ [1] <br> NOT A At ie $300 \times 10^{3} \times 7.8 \times 10^{-10} \times 3.2 \times 10^{7}=7.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~Bq}[0]$ | 0 1 1 |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | B | 1 |
| 6(b) | A | 1 |
| 7 | electric field (strength) / (electric) potential gradient in a uniform field / between parallel (conducting) plates ACCEPT electric intensity | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | risk $\left(=20 \times 10^{-3} \times 40 \times 3\right)=2.4 \%$ <br> ACCEPT 0.024 with $\%$ crossed out <br> risk per year $(w t t e)=20 \times 10^{-3} \times 3\left(=6.0 \times 10^{-2} \% \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)[1]$ overall dose $(\mathrm{wtte})=20 \times 10^{-3} \times 40(=0.80 \mathrm{~Sv})$ [1] <br> ACCEPT units as evidence of what they are calculating | 2 |
| 9 | three approximately straight lines: <br> - approximately perpendicular to equipotentials <br> - touching 1 kV and 4 kV equipotentials arrows to show correct direction, as shown <br> ACCEPT field lines meeting at a point ACCEPT any spacing of field lines | $1$ |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10(a) | ${ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$ | 1 |
|  | NOT ${ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
| 10(b)(i) | uud (in any order) | 1 |
|  | (total charge $=$ ) $+2 / 3 e++2 / 3 e+-1 / 3 e=e$ | 1 |
|  | ACCEPT calculation without $e$ |  |
| 10(b)(ii) | uū, d ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1 |
|  | need both for the mark |  |
| 10(c)(i) | 4 protons | 1 |
|  | 5 neutrons | 1 |
| 10(c)(ii) | ecf 10(c)(i): |  |
|  | $4 \times 1.673 \times 10^{-27}=\left(6.692 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ |  |
|  | $5 \times 1.675 \times 10^{-27}=\left(8.375 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ <br> so mass of separate nucleons $=1.5067 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |
|  | $1.4966 \times 10^{-26}-1.5067 \times 10^{-26}=(-) 1.0(1) \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~kg}$ by correct method correct calculation of separate nucleons [1] | 2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4966 \times 10^{-26}-4 \times 1.673 \times 10^{-27}-5 \times 1.675 \times 10^{-27}=\ldots \\ & 9.9 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~kg}, 9.1 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~kg},[0] \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10(c)(iii) | $E=m c^{2}$ | 0 |
|  | $E=1.01 \times 10^{-28} \times\left(3.0 \times 10^{8}\right)^{2}=9.09 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J}$ | 1 |
|  | ecf incorrect $E$ : $E=9.09 \times 10^{-12} / 1.6 \times 10^{-19}\left(=5.68 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{eV}\right)($ eor) |  |
|  | ecf: binding energy per nucleon $=5.68 \times 10^{7} / 9=6.3 \mathrm{MeV}$ $1.0 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~kg}$ gives 6.25 MeV [3] |  |
| 10(d) | less mass is equivalent to less energy (ORA) | 1 |
|  | EITHER <br> extra energy needed to separate the nucleons in a nucleus |  |
|  | OR <br> energy must be lost to form a nucleus from nucleons | 1 |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(a)(i) | single line from source to detector along the centre of tube, through both holes, not hitting the sides | 1 |
| 11(a)(ii) | to remove atoms which would collide with alpha particles ACCEPT alpha particles have short range in air | 1 |
| 11(b)(i) | 90 | 1 |
| 11(b)(ii) | $B q v=\frac{m v^{2}}{r}$ <br> combined in stages with $p=m v$ (eor) to final answer $\text { eg } B q=\frac{m v}{r} \rightarrow B q=\frac{p}{r} \rightarrow p=B q r$ | 1 |
| 11(c)(i) | gives particles correct path to reach detector (wtte) | 1 |
| 11(c)(ii) | background (radiation) is being detected NOT alphas can have different energies / velocities NOT background noise | 1 |
| 11(c)(iii) | $\begin{aligned} & p=B q r \\ & B=150 \mathrm{mT} \end{aligned}$ <br> ecf incorrect $B=50 \mathrm{mT}$ : $B=0.15 \mathrm{~T}$ (units conversion) ecf incorrect units conversion: $p=0.15 \times 3.2 \times 10^{-19} \times 2.5=1.2 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12(a)(i) | single loop along iron core, passing from N to S eg <br> ACCEPT loop which avoids the black blob in the magnet | 1 |
| 12(a)(ii) | good conductor of flux / high permeance / easily magnetised / guides flux through the coil / high permeability / good magnetic circuit / increases flux in the coil | 1 |
| 12(b)(i) | spinning magnet increases and decreases flux in the coil; <br> ACCEPT alternating flux, changes flux <br> emf is positive as flux (linkage) increases, negative as flux (linkage) <br> decreases <br> ACCEPT decreasing flux for positive emf <br> ACCEPT emf is rate of change of flux (linkage) | 1 1 |
| 12(b)(ii) | sine wave of constant amplitude, correct period and phase, at least one cycle ACCEPT phase difference of $\pm \pi / 2$ | 1 |


| Qn | Expected Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12(b)(iii) | $\varepsilon=N \frac{d \Phi}{d t}$ | 0 |
|  | $d t=0.25$ period $=0.25 / 30=8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}$ | 1 |
|  | $1.3 \approx 120 \times$ peak flux / $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
|  | peak flux $=9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~Wb}$ (or $1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Wb}$ ) | 1 |
|  | $d t=1 / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ gives $3.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Wb}$ [1] |  |
|  | $d t=1 / 60 \mathrm{~s}$ gives $1.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Wb}$ [1] |  |
|  | ACCEPT peak flux $=$ peak emf $/ 2 \pi f N=6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~Wb}$ for [2] |  |
|  | ACCEPT flux linkage change $=$ area under emf-time graph [1] area $\approx 0.5 \times$ peak emf $\times 0.25$ period $=5.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Wb}$ turns peak flux $\approx 4.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~Wb}$ [1] |  |
| 12(c) | any two of these modification-explanation pairs [1+1], maximum [3] | 3 |
|  | - increase number of coils <br> - to increase flux linkage |  |
|  | - decrease gap between magnet and core <br> - to improve magnetic circuit / increase flux (linkage) |  |
|  | - increase dimensions of apparatus <br> - to increase flux (linkage) |  |
|  | - increase permeability of (iron) core <br> - to improve magnetic circuit / increase flux (linkage) |  |
|  | - laminate the core (wtte) <br> - stops eddy currents reducing the flux |  |
| 12(d) | to stop eddy currents in the core (wtte) | 1 |
|  | EITHER <br> which would reduce emf / flux (linkage) | 1 |
|  | OR <br> would dissipate energy (as heat) (wtte) |  |



## Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark (0-4) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in Section B of the paper.

4 The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultless accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

1 The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.

0 The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.

## Mark Scheme 2865 June 2007

## Physics B (Advancing Physics) mark schemes - an introduction

Just as the philosophy of the Advancing Physics course develops the student's understanding of Physics, so the philosophy of the examination rewards the candidate for showing that understanding. These mark schemes must be viewed in that light, for in practice the examiners' standardisation meeting is of at least equal importance.

The following points need to be borne in mind when reading the published mark schemes:

- Alternative approaches to a question are rewarded equally with that given in the scheme, provided that the physics is sound. As an example, when a candidate is required to "Show that..." followed by a numerical value, it is always possible to work back from the required value to the data.
- Open questions, such as the questions in section $C$ in AS, permit a very wide variety of approaches, and the candidate's own approach must be rewarded according to the degree to which it has been successful. Real examples of differing approaches are discussed in standardisation meetings, and specimen answers produced by candidates are used as 'case law' for examiners when marking scripts.
- $\quad$ Final and intermediate calculated values in the schemes are given to assist the examiners in spotting whether candidates are proceeding correctly. Mark schemes frequently give calculated values to degrees of precision greater than those warranted by the data, to show values that one might expect to see in candidates' working.
- Where a calculation is worth two marks, one mark is generally given for the method, and the other for the evaluation of the quantity to be calculated.
- If part of a question uses a value calculated earlier, any error in the former result is not penalised further, being counted as error carried forward: the candidate's own previous result is taken as correct for the subsequent calculation.
- Inappropriate numbers of significant figures in a final answer are penalised by the loss of a mark, generally once per examination paper. The maximum number of significant figures deemed to be permissible is one more than that given in the data; two more significant figures would be excessive. This does not apply in questions where candidates are required to show that a given value is correct.
- Where units are not provided in the question or answer line the candidate is expected to give the units used in the answer.
- Quality of written communication will be assessed where there are opportunities to write extended prose.


## ADVICE TO EXAMINERS ON THE ANNOTATION OF SCRIPTS

1 Please ensure that you use the final proof version of the Mark Scheme.
You are advised to destroy all draft Final proof versions.
2 Please mark all post-standardisation scripts in red ink. A tick $(\checkmark)$ should be used for each answer judged worthy of a mark. Ticks should be placed as close as possible to the point in the answer where the mark has been awarded. The number of ticks should be the same as the number of marks awarded. If two (or more) responses are required for one mark, use only one tick. Half marks ( $1 / 2$ ) should never be used.

3 The following annotations may be used when marking. No comments should be written on scripts unless they relate directly to the mark scheme. Remember that scripts may be returned to Centres.
x = incorrect response (errors may also be underlined)
^ = omission mark
bod = benefit of the doubt (where professional judgement has been used)
ecf = error carried forward (in consequential marking)
con = contradiction (in cases where candidates contradict themselves in the same response)
sf $\quad=$ error in the number of significant figures
4 The marks awarded for each part question should be indicated in the margin provided on the right hand side of the page. The mark total for each question should be ringed at the end of the question. These totals should be added up to give the final total on the front of the paper.

5 In cases where candidates are required to give a specific number of answers, (eg 'give three reasons'), mark the first answer(s) given up to the total number required. Strike through the remainder. In specific cases where this rule cannot be applied, the exact procedure to be used is given in the mark scheme.

6 Correct answers to calculations should gain full credit even if no working is shown, unless otherwise indicated in the mark scheme. (An instruction on the paper to 'Show your working' is to help candidates, who may then gain partial credit even if their final answer is not correct.)

7 Strike through all blank spaces and/or pages in order to give a clear indication that the whole of the script has been considered.

8 An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper, and candidates may not use the exact words that appear in the mark scheme. If the science is correct and answers the question, then the mark(s) should normally be credited. If you are in doubt about the validity of any answer, contact your Team Leader/Principal Examiner for guidance.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit Cod } \\ 2865 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sessior } \\ \text { June } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Standardisation$28 / 6 / 07$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```\(\mathrm{m} \quad\) = method mark = substitution mark = evaluation mark = alternative and acceptable answers for the same marking point = separates marking points NOT = answers which are not worthy of credit () = words which are not essential to gain credit ___ = (underlining) key words which must be used to gain credit ecf = error carried forward AW = alternative wording ora = or reverse argument ue \(=\) unit error``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qn 1 (a) | Expected | er owtte $\checkmark$ |  | Marks | Must compare before and after |  |
| (b) | (i) Energy conserved stated Mechanism or clear energy story <br> (ii) evidence of method $\checkmark$ $2646 \mathrm{~J} \approx 3000 \mathrm{~J} \checkmark$ <br> (iii) evidence of method $\checkmark$ $\Delta T=3000 /(1 \times 4200)=0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> (iv) Energy lost from water eg splashing, conduction into surroundings idea $\checkmark$ correctly explain $\checkmark$ I <br> Pool \& surroundings form large sink to dissipate energy idea $\checkmark$ correctly explain $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2 | Gravitational PE $\rightarrow \mathrm{KE}$, or KE $\rightarrow$ internal energy of water F $\times \mathrm{d}$, or mgh or full arithmetical expression eg $1 \times 9.8 \times 270$ 2600 J gives $0.62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Reverse working OK. As (b)(i) <br> Energy loss idea or Mixing idea |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |
| 2 (a) | (i) Water freezes /solidifies at $273 \mathrm{~K} \checkmark$ <br> (ii) $1-273 / 373 \checkmark=0.27(<0.30=30 \%) \checkmark$ |  |  | 1 | Bald answer <br> 0.268/26.8\% = 1 mark <br> Accept $273<T_{\text {cold }}<300$ <br> for 1 mark max |  |
| (b) | (i) $\Delta S=6000 / 400=15$ <br> Units $\mathrm{JK}^{-1} \checkmark$ <br> (ii) $\Delta S_{\text {cold }}=4800 / 300=16\left(\mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)^{\checkmark}$ $\Delta S_{\text {cold }}>S_{\text {hot }}$, so net gain of entropy $\checkmark$ (iii) Suggestion, eg raise $\mathrm{T}_{\text {hot }}$, lower $\mathrm{T}_{\text {cold }}$, make use of waste heat (as in CHP schemes) $\checkmark$ <br> Explanation in terms of Carnot relationship. $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2 | Do not 'back credit' working in (ii) <br> No ecf <br> Any reasonable suggestion. Justification can be arithmetic. Ignore entropy arguments. |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |


| Mark Scheme | Unit Code | Session | Year | Standardisation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 2 of 4 | 2865 | June | 2007 | $28 / 6 / 07$ |


| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i) } p V=n R T \text { (core) } \checkmark \\ & n=p V / R T=1.0 \times 10^{5} \times 0.18 /(8.3 \times 273) \\ & =7.9 \mathrm{~mol} \approx 8 \mathrm{~mol} \checkmark \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{e} \\ & \text { (ii) } N=7.9 \times 6.0 \times 10^{23}=4.8 \times 10^{24} \\ & \text { molecules } \checkmark \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Bald 7.9 mol gets 3 marks. <br> Ecf from (i) |
| (b) | (i) (mean-square/rms) $v$ is not changed $v$ because $T$ depends on $v / T$ unchanged / no work done on or by gas/ no internal energy change $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) two sides of panel equal in size so a molecule would have the same number of ways of being placed on each side /having double the volume available provides twice the number of ways of arranging each molecule $\checkmark$ <br> (iii) $2^{N}$ is an (extremely) large number $\checkmark$ huge increase in number of ways will increase the entropy $\checkmark$ | 2 1 2 | (Work is actually done on gas in this process) <br> Must appreciate role o $2^{N}$. Must have number of ways for second mark. |
|  |  | 9 |  |
| 4 (a) | Same number of circles on the energy level diagrams on each side $\checkmark$ | 1 | Not 'same number of atoms' |
| (b) | More atoms in higher energy level states $\checkmark$ Greater number of quanta present (eg cold solid has only $12 \times 1+3 \times 2$ quanta, hot solid has many more) | 2 | Argument in terms of BF acceptable to 2 marks. |
| (c) | (i) Each level has $1 / 4$ of the number in the level below $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) $\exp (-\varepsilon k T)=\exp \left(-5.8 \times 10^{-21} /\left(1.4 \times 10^{-23} \times\right.\right.$ 300)) <br> $=0.251=0.25$ quoted in stem to (c)(i) $\checkmark \mathrm{m} \vee \mathrm{e}$ <br> (iii) Larger fraction so larger $\mathrm{BF} \checkmark$; larger BF $\Rightarrow$ smaller $\varepsilon / k T \Rightarrow$ larger $T \checkmark / \mathrm{BF}_{\text {hot }}=0.5 \checkmark$ $\Rightarrow T=600 \mathrm{~K} \checkmark$ | 2 <br> 2 | 3/12 or 12/45 (12/48 Can use $\ln (0.25)=-$ $\varepsilon / k T$; allow $\checkmark$ e 'show that' if values all substituted. |
|  |  | 10 |  |


| Mark Scheme | Unit Code | Session | Year | Standardisation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 3 of 4 | 2865 | June | 2007 | $28 / 6 / 07$ |


| Qn | Expected Answers | Marks | Additional Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 (a) | (i) Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation <br> (ii) wavelength correctly related to (photon) energy ; <br> (photon) energy correctly related to <br> temperature ; <br> Temperature now $\sim 3 \mathrm{~K} /$ was once $\sim 3000$ K <br> (iii) (Cosmological) redshift $\checkmark$ <br> Recession related to redshift/stretching of wavelength $\checkmark$ <br> Furthest galaxies receding faster/ biggest redshift $\checkmark$ | $1$ <br> 2 <br> 1 <br> 2 | Allow any 3 out of the 4 CMBR eg MBR, CMB <br> Any two points <br> NOT Doppler effect. |
| (b) | $\lambda \uparrow \Rightarrow f \downarrow$ because $\lambda \propto 1 / f \checkmark$ $f \downarrow \Rightarrow E \downarrow$ because $E=h f \checkmark$ $\lambda \uparrow 1000 \times \Rightarrow f \downarrow 1000 \times \Rightarrow E \downarrow 1000 \times \checkmark$ | 3 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |
| 6 (a) | $\left.10^{15} \mathrm{~Pa}\right) \vee \mathrm{m} \checkmark \mathrm{e}$ <br> (iii) ideal gas behaviour/no inter-particle interactions $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | can use (3/2)kT <br> Can use reverse working (passim) $p V=N k T \Rightarrow 1.4 \times 10^{15} \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| (b) | (i) $50 \leq A \leq 60$ <br> (ii) binding energy/nucleon $=8.5$ to 9.0 MeV $\text { binding energy }=A \times(8.5 \text { to } 9.0) \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{e} V \checkmark$ <br> ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~J} \checkmark$ $=A \times\left(8.5 \times 10^{6} \text { to } 9.0 \times 10^{6}\right) \times 1.6 \times 10^{-}$ <br> (iii) total energy $=$ answer to (ii) $\times 10^{56} \checkmark$ Assumption $=$ all nuclei were originally free protons/neutrons $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ for method, $\checkmark$ for reading |
|  |  | 13 |  |


| Mark Scheme | Unit Code | Session | Year | Standardisation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 4 of 4 | 2865 | June | 2007 | $28 / 6 / 07$ |


| Qn | Expected Answers | Mark s | Additional Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 (a) | (i) Gravitational force acting on satellite / weight of satellite $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) centripetal force on satellite owtte | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (b) | (i) Two correct force arrows $\checkmark$ <br> Labels correct: weight/gravitational force/gravitational pull/W/mg towards centre of Earth AND tensile force/tension/T in opposite direction $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) equation applies only to object orbiting freely; 10 kg is not orbiting freely; 10 kg is being pulled/restrained/acted on/held back by satellite; different $r$; no $M$ in equation; $m$ in equation is for satellite, not 10 kg | 2 2 | Ignore lengths of arrows. <br> Any two points |
| (c) | (i) $R$ $\begin{aligned} & =\rho L / A=2.7 \times 10^{-8} \times 5000 / 8.0 \times 10^{-5} v \\ & =1.7 \Omega \approx 2 \Omega \checkmark \end{aligned}$ <br> (ii) Algebraic reasoning or quoting $\varepsilon=B L v \checkmark$ $\varepsilon=21 \times 10^{-6} \times 5000 \times 8000=840 \mathrm{~V} \approx 800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Cand go via conductance Area 'cut' per second = $L v$ so $\varepsilon=\Delta \phi \mid \Delta t=B L v$ |
| (d) | (i) $I=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}=840 / 1.7=490 \mathrm{~A} \approx 500 \mathrm{~A}$ <br> (ii) $F=I L B=490 \times 5000 \times 21 \times 10^{-6} \checkmark=51$ $\mathrm{N} V$ <br> Unit error penalty here if N not stated. <br> (iii) Effect $\checkmark$ : <br> Explanation $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 800 / 1.7=470 ; 840 / 2=420 \\ & 800 / 2=400 \end{aligned}$ <br> (ecf from above also: <br> 500A, 53N; 470A,49N; <br> 420A, 44N; 400A, 423N) <br> eg will fall to lower orbit /settle in new orbit; loss of energy; <br> eg will slow satellite, force in opposite direction to v , quote Lenz's Law |
|  |  | 15 |  |
| 8 (a) | (i) $\lambda=c / f=2500 / 5.0 \times 10^{6} \checkmark=5.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$ $(0.50 \mathrm{~mm})^{\checkmark}$ <br> (ii) Stationary wave in crystal; resonance; has antinodes at ends; length of fundamental mode $=1 / 2 \lambda \checkmark \checkmark$ <br> (iii) Pulse has 4-8 periods equally spaced $\checkmark$ amplitudes drop (with envelope as concave curve) $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Any two points: may be shown in diagram; |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { depth }=1 / 2 \checkmark \times 4200 \times 25 \times 10^{-6}=5.2(5) \times \\ & 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | No $1 / 2$ means that no marks are awarded. |


| (c) | (i) Recognising path length <br> transmitter $\rightarrow$ crack $\rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ is less than path length transmitter $\rightarrow$ crack $\rightarrow$ D $\checkmark$ <br> (ii) Any point on the locus of an ellipse with C and transmitter as foci and crack on curve <br> (iii) Delays at $D$ will also have a number of different places where the crack might be $\checkmark$ Only one point will result in both measured delays $\checkmark$ <br> (iv) How different depths are displayed $\checkmark$ How the information could be retrieved/display interpreted $\checkmark$ | 1 1 2 | 'closer' is enough In (iii), a clear diagram can score both marks. <br> slices, perspective, contours or colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 13 |  |
|  | QWC | 4 |  |

## QoWC Marking quality of written communication

The appropriate mark ( $0-4$ ) should be awarded based on the candidate's quality of written communication in the whole paper.

4 max The candidate will express complex ideas extremely clearly and fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate communicates effectively. Information is presented in the most appropriate form (which may include graphs, diagrams or charts where their use would enhance communication). The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with almost faultess accuracy, deploying a wide range of grammatical constructions and specialist terms.

3 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas clearly and reasonably fluently. Answers are structured logically and concisely, so that the candidate generally communicates effectively. Information is not always presented in the most appropriate form. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy; a range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

2 The candidate will express moderately complex ideas fairly clearly but not always fluently. Answers may not be structured clearly. The candidate spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some errors; a limited range of specialist terms are used appropriately.

1 The candidate will express simple ideas clearly, but may be imprecise and awkward in dealing with complex or subtle concepts. Arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting weakness in these areas.
$0 \quad$ The candidate is unable to express simple ideas clearly; there are severe shortcomings in the organisation and presentation of the answer, leading to a failure to communicate knowledge and ideas. There are significant errors in the use of language which makes the candidate's meaning uncertain.

## Advanced GCE Physics B (Advancing Physics) 3888/7888 June07 Assessment Series

Unit Threshold Marks

| Unit |  | Maximum Mark | a | b | c | d | e | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2860 | Raw | 90 | 61 | 54 | 47 | 40 | 34 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2861 | Raw | 90 | 70 | 63 | 56 | 49 | 42 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2862 | Raw | 120 | 97 | 85 | 73 | 62 | 51 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 90 | 72 | 63 | 54 | 45 | 36 | 0 |
| 2863A | Raw | 127 | 97 | 86 | 76 | 66 | 56 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2863B | Raw | 127 | 97 | 86 | 76 | 66 | 56 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 2864A | Raw | 119 | 94 | 84 | 75 | 66 | 57 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2864B | Raw | 119 | 94 | 84 | 75 | 66 | 57 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 110 | 88 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 0 |
| 2865 | Raw | 90 | 60 | 54 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 0 |
|  | UMS | 90 | 72 | 63 | 54 | 45 | 36 | 0 |

## Specification Aggregation Results

Overall threshold marks in UMS (i.e. after conversion of raw marks to uniform marks)

|  | Maximum <br> Mark | A | B | C | D | E | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 8 8 8}$ | 300 | 240 | 210 | 180 | 150 | 120 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7 8 8 8}$ | 600 | 480 | 420 | 360 | 300 | 240 | 0 |

The cumulative percentage of candidates awarded each grade was as follows:

|  | A | B | C | D | E | U | Total Number of <br> Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 8 8 8}$ | 25.3 | 44.7 | 63.2 | 78.6 | 90.8 | 100 | 6692 |
| $\mathbf{7 8 8 8}$ | 30.6 | 53.5 | 73.5 | 87.9 | 96.5 | 100 | 5132 |

For a description of how UMS marks are calculated see; http://www.ocr.org.uk/exam system/understand ums.html

Statistics are correct at the time of publication
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